UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,486	11/13/2003	David A. Schechter	2876	8330
90039 TYCO Healthca	7590 11/30/200 are Group LP	EXAMINER		
60 Middletown Avenue			PEFFLEY, MICHAEL F	
North Haven, CT 06473			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/712,486	SCHECHTER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael Peffley	3739	l

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	cover sheet with the correspondence address -	-
THE REPLY FILED 23 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with ap for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. periods:	day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonm an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which p peal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a	places the Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the	final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CI	n, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and funder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	he corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extruction to the fee. The appropriate extruction period for reply originally set in the final Office action.	ension fee on; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with	37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of th	e date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension there Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time AMENDMENTS	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appe	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to	the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because	<u> </u>
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal; and/or	appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issu	ues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspond	ng number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>Claim 22 includes recitation of electrosurgical energy previously considered</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	flowing in a uni-directional manner, which limitation	was not
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See atta	ached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-	-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if s non-allowable claim(s). 	ubmitted in a separate, timely filed amendment can	celing the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ation of
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7,8 and 21-29</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>6 and 9-20</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	on the data of filling a Nation of Amenal will not be an	- 4 d
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was remarked.	l rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to pr	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the sta		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ids of the dains after entry is below of attached.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT	place the application in condition for allowance bed	cause:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/0813. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	3) Paper No(s)	
/A./	ichael Peffley/	
	mary Examiner, Art Unit 3739	

Application No.

Continuation of 13. Other: This Advisory Action is supplemental to the Advisory Action of November 3, 2009. The November 3rd Advisory had indicated that the limitations added directed towards the elastomeric material completely surrounding the electrode were previously not considered. However, it is noted that previously pending claim 25 including this recitation and was therefore previously considered (with respect to newly presented claim 1). Similar recitation has also been added to claims 21 and 23, however, and was never part of the original limitations considered with respect to those claims. Also, claim 22 has been amended to recite the electrical energy flows in a unidirectional manner, which limitation was not previously considered. As such, the examiner maintains the amendment will not be entered and has clarified the reasons with this instant Supplemental Advisory Action.